1	UNITED STATES DIS	STRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
3	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
4		
5		
6	IN RE: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE	)
7	ANTITRUST LITIGATION	)
8		) No. 11-CV-2509-LHK
9	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	)
10	ALL ACTIONS.	)
11		)
12		
13		
14	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY	
15	VIDEO DEPOSITION OF ED CATMULL	
16	January 24	, 2013
17		
18		
19	REPORTED BY: GINA V. CARBONI	E, CSR NO. 8249, RPR, CCRR
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

then they have nothing.
So the long-term is, you know, what kind of
favor is it if you are operating a business under a
delusion. And half of these film companies are deluded,
just to be candid. It goes along with the territory. I
didn't want to play that game.
Q. When you said "seriously messes up the pay
structure," were you referring to pay structure in the
industry?
A. Well, I mean, it's up here. I can't speak
beyond that. I'm trying to keep Dick from screwing up
ILM.
Q. So when you said "seriously messes up the pay
structure," were you talking about the pay structure of
the companies in the Bay Area? Northern California?
A. Well, I'm I've got to be I'm my frame
of mind is I'm trying to stop him from attacking ILM in
particular, but any of the companies up here. I knew
they were going to grow somewhere. But it's just you
know, but I you know, was I thinking carefully about
the full implication? I'm just trying to talk Dick into
something.
Q. Got you. But it stands to reason, does it not,
that if this fellow came into this area and raised
salary or offered higher salaries to folks to come to

03:12:58 1	work for him, that that was going to put pressure on
03:13:00 2	other companies to raise their salaries too? Because
03:13:04 3	your employees would hear about it and they would
03:13:05 4	want
03:13:06 5	A. No. Wrong.
03:13:07 6	Q. Really?
03:13:07 7	A. No. The implication is they move overseas.
03:13:12 8	That's the implication. That's what's happening right
03:13:15 9	now.
03:13:16 10	Q. Well, I don't
03:13:18 11	A. It's going to these other countries.
03:13:20 12	Q. I don't understand "seriously messes up the pay
03:13:22 13	structure." Whose pay structure?
03:13:23 14	A. Here's the thing: If you got the pay structure
03:13:25 15	industry of special effects, because this is a special
03:13:28 16	effects company, all right, then it collapses and people
03:13:30 17	still want the work, where are they going to go? So it
03:13:33 18	messes up the pay structure. It does. It makes it very
03:13:36 19	high. Which forces the studios who are only interested
03:13:40 20	in the cost of their film, which is their
03:13:41 21	responsibility, to go to the lower cost. Where is the
03:13:44 22	lower cost? It's out of this country.
03:13:46 23	Q. Okay.
03:13:46 24	A. That's just the reality that we've got. And I
03:13:50 25	do feel strongly about it.

03:13:52 1	Q. It's very clear you feel strongly about it. I
03:13:56 2	don't quarrel with you about that.
03:13:57 3	A. I think it's right to the point. Like somehow
03:13:59 4	we're hurting some employees? We're not. We have
03:14:01 5	got
03:14:02 6	Q. That, we can debate.
03:14:03 7	A. Well, no, I will debate it. All right? We
03:14:05 8	have got a healthy, strong, vibrant culture at Pixar. I
03:14:08 9	think one of the very best. We have the longest success
03:14:11 10	record in the history of the motion picture industry.
03:14:13 11	And I say we have the happiest employees because we care
03:14:16 12	about all aspects of it. And I'm not doing it by
03:14:20 13	thinking short-term, and that's the way they view it
03:14:22 14	also.
03:14:22 14 03:14:22 15	also. Q. You went on to write, "I know that Zemeckis'
03:14:22 15	Q. You went on to write, "I know that Zemeckis'
03:14:22 15 03:14:25 16	Q. You went on to write, "I know that Zemeckis' company will not target Pixar, however, by offering
03:14:22 15 03:14:25 16 03:14:28 17	Q. You went on to write, "I know that Zemeckis' company will not target Pixar, however, by offering higher salaries to grow at the rate they desire, people
03:14:22 15 03:14:25 16 03:14:28 17 03:14:32 18	Q. You went on to write, "I know that Zemeckis' company will not target Pixar, however, by offering higher salaries to grow at the rate they desire, people will hear about it and leave."
03:14:22 15 03:14:25 16 03:14:28 17 03:14:32 18 03:14:35 19	Q. You went on to write, "I know that Zemeckis' company will not target Pixar, however, by offering higher salaries to grow at the rate they desire, people will hear about it and leave."  What people were you referring to about hearing
03:14:22 15 03:14:25 16 03:14:28 17 03:14:32 18 03:14:35 19 03:14:37 20	Q. You went on to write, "I know that Zemeckis' company will not target Pixar, however, by offering higher salaries to grow at the rate they desire, people will hear about it and leave."  What people were you referring to about hearing about it and leaving?
03:14:22 15 03:14:25 16 03:14:28 17 03:14:32 18 03:14:35 19 03:14:37 20 03:14:45 21	Q. You went on to write, "I know that Zemeckis' company will not target Pixar, however, by offering higher salaries to grow at the rate they desire, people will hear about it and leave."  What people were you referring to about hearing about it and leaving?  A. Well, I'm not sure what I what this what
03:14:22 15 03:14:25 16 03:14:28 17 03:14:32 18 03:14:35 19 03:14:37 20 03:14:45 21 03:14:47 22	Q. You went on to write, "I know that Zemeckis' company will not target Pixar, however, by offering higher salaries to grow at the rate they desire, people will hear about it and leave."  What people were you referring to about hearing about it and leaving?  A. Well, I'm not sure what I what this what this is here because he couldn't hire people they

Q. Well, no, they couldn't recruit out of Pixar,

03:14:53 25

1	I, Gina V. Carbone, Certified Shorthand
2	Reporter licensed in the State of California, License
3	No. 8249, hereby certify that the deponent was by me
4	first duly sworn and the foregoing testimony was
5	reported by me and was thereafter transcribed with
6	computer-aided transcription; that the foregoing is a
7	full, complete, and true record of said proceedings.
8	I further certify that I am not of counsel or
9	attorney for either of any of the parties in the
10	foregoing proceeding and caption named or in any way
11	interested in the outcome of the cause in said caption.
12	The dismantling, unsealing, or unbinding of
13	the original transcript will render the reporter's
14	certificates null and void.
15	In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my
16	hand this day: January 28, 2013.
17	$\underline{\hspace{1cm}}^{X}\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ Reading and Signing was requested.
18	Reading and Signing was waived.
19	Reading and signing was not requested.
20	
21	
22	
23	GINA V. CARBONE
24	CSR 8249, RPR, CCRR
2 E	